UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,361	03/30/2006	Robert Albertus Brondijk	NL 031219	2296
24737 7590 09/29/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			HARVEY, DAVID E	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,361	BRONDIJK, ROBERT ALBERTUS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID E. HARVEY	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2621

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al.:

A) <u>U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al.</u>:

Kitani et al has been cited because it evidences that it was well known in the video recording art to have used a "bit by bit copy" process to copy DVD video from a first DVD storage medium onto a second recordable DVD disc media:

"Therefore, if processing so-called a bit by bit copy in which digital data reproduced from other medium is directly recorded on another medium is performed, the data read out from the DVD-video is directly recorded on the DVD-R/RW standard disc, whereby the disc of the DVD-video may be copied illegally."

[Lines 30-33 of column 2]

B) Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse:

Naruse has been cited because:

1) As shown in Figure 1, it evidences that systems for respectively reproducing and buffering data provided from each layer of a multi-layer DVD disc was well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2621

C) <u>U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh</u>:

1) The examiner notes that US Patent #7,260,039 to <u>Suh</u> is entitled to a Section 102(e) date of 6/5/2003; i.e., the filing date of the International Application from which it was derived (note cover page);

- 2) US Patent #7,260,039 to <u>Suh</u> has been cited because it evidences:
 - a) The fact that systems for recording data onto a recordable multi-layer disc were known in the art; and
 - b) That such recorders utilized and recorded information identifying the beginning and ending addresses associated with the data stored in each of the layers and, as such, for identifying the transitions between the layers (Note figure 5).

D) Combined showing:

The examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have:

- 1) Respectively reproduced the data recorded on the respective layers of a first multi-layer DVD utilizing conventional multi-layer DVD reproducing apparatus as evidenced by <u>Naruse</u>; and
- 2) To have respectively recorded the data reproduced from the respective layers of the first multi-layer DVD onto respective layers of a recordable multi-layer DVD utilizing conventional multi-layers DVD recording apparatus as evidenced by <u>Suh.</u>

in order to have performed the "bit by bit copy" process needed to copy the first multi-layer DVD as was shown to have been well known and desirable via the showing of Kitani et al.

With respect to the limitations of claim 1, the following is noted:

- 1) The examiner contends that all DVD reproducing devices inherently comprise "*retrieving means*" given that the recorded data must be retrieved/read from the DVD;
- 2) The examiner contends that all DVD reproducing devices also inherently comprise signal "*processing means*" given that the retrieved/read data must at least be formatted and converted into proper/respective data streams;
- 3) That, in order for the recording apparatus to have properly recorded the reproduced data one the respective layers of the recordable medium to produce the "byte by byte copy", the

Art Unit: 2621

reproducing apparatus must convey the reproduced layer identifying information to the recording apparatus via an "output means"; i.e., a "byte by byte copy" of the first DVD could not be made unless the recording apparatus knows which data came from which layer so that the data may be recorded on the corresponding layers of the recordable DVD.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 1. Additionally:

The examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have multiplexed the layer identifying information with the data stream of the reproduced data thereby avoiding the need for multiple wire/bus connections between the recoding and reproducing apparatuses; i.e., the examiner taking Official Notice that such single wire/bus configuration were notoriously well known in the device interconnection arts..

- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 1.
- 6. Claim 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 3. Additionally;

The examiner notes that the maximum usable size is determined by the amount of data that is retrieved from the first disc and, as such, is adjustable (at least disc by disc).

7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 1.

Art Unit: 2621

8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 6. Additionally:

The examiner maintains that the video data of the DVD standard is, by definition, in a "file" format.

9. Claim 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Document #11016269 to Naruse and U.S. Patent #7,260,039 to Suh, in view of U.S. Patent #7,172,889 to Kitani et al. for the same reasons explained above for claim 6. Additionally;

Again, the examiner notes that the maximum usable size is determined by the amount of data that is retrieved from the first disc and, as such, is adjustable (at least disc by disc).

Art Unit: 2621

10. The Following "prior art" is noted:

A) Japanese Patent Document #2000/293,889 to Nakada et al and Japanese Patent Document #11273082 to Watanabe et al. has been cited because it described a system in which a mark is used to discriminate layers of a multilayer medium.

- B) US Patent # 6,424,614 to <u>Kawamura et al.</u> and US Patent #5,870,374 to <u>Satoh et al.</u> has been cited because it described a system in which addressing is used to discriminate layers of a multilayer medium.
- C) US Patent # 6,829,618 to <u>Abraham et al</u>. has been cited for its showing of a system used to layout a disc.
- D) US Patent Document #2004/0234243, constituting an intervening reference, has been cited for its illustration of recording circuitry (e.g., Figure 17).

Art Unit: 2621

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to DAVID E. HARVEY whose telephone number is (571) 272-7345.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ms. Marsh D. Banks-Harold, can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID E HARVEY/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

DAVID E HARVEY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621